



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 10/759,415 01/16/2004 | | Uwe Schwarz | 6173/2003 Cont. US | 7487 | | | |
| 43829 7 | 590 03/20/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| ROBERT M BAUER, ESQ. | | | LE, DA | LE, DANH C | | | |
| LACKENBACH SIEGEL, LLP 1 CHASE ROAD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| SCARSDALE, NY 10583 | | | 2683 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Α | pplication No. | 4 | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | . | 10/759,415 | | SCHWARZ ET AL. | | | | |
| | | E | xaminer | - | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | ANH C. LE | : | 2683 | | | | |
| 7 Period for F | he MAILING DATE of this commun Reply | ication appea | rs on the cover she | eet with the co | rrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M as of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit in ord for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the provisions or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATI of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COMN i). In no event, however, i pply and will expire SIX (i use the application to become | MUNICATION. may a reply be timel 6) MONTHS from thome ABANDONED | y filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Re | esponsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>21 April</i> | 2004. | | | | | | |
| <i>'</i> = | • | | tion is non-final. | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | | | | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ CI | • 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 21-32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)∐ Cl | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Cl | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 21-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)□ Cl | aim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ CI | aim(s) are subject to restric | ction and/or e | lection requiremer | nt. | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ The | e specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)∐ The | e drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) accept | ed or b) objecte | ed to by the Ex | caminer. | | | | |
| Ар | plicant may not request that any obje | ction to the dra | wing(s) be held in a | beyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Re | placement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction | is required if the dra | awing(s) is obje | cted to. See 37 Cf | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)∐ Th | e oath or declaration is objected to | by the Exam | niner. Note the atta | ached Office A | ction or form PT | Г О-152 . | | | |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| a) 🗌 / | knowledgment is made of a claim All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | (d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Certified copies of the priorityCopies of the certified copies | | | | | Stone | | | |
| J.[| application from the Internation | • | | | ili ulis Ivauoliai | Stage | | | |
| * See | the attached detailed Office action | - | • • • • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of | References Cited (PTO-892) | | | rview Summary (F | | | | | |
| | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F | | | er No(s)/Mail Date | e ent Application (PTC | D-152\ | | | |
| | on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date | F10/SB/08) | 6) Othe | | om Application (FTC | o <u>.</u> , | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/759,415 Page 2

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/26/04 and 04/21/04 have been considered by the examiner and made of record in the application file.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-11, 21-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35, respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,881,112. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-35 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,881,112 encompass claims 1-11, 21-32 of the present application.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,415 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-11 and 21-32 are allowed.

As to claims 1 and 21, Akerberg (US 6,839,333) teaches TDMA-TDD/FDD radio communication system and channel selection method and apparatus for such a system. Voyer (US 6,961,542) teaches method of estimating a downlink channel. Hamabe (US 6,574,456) teaches method of preventing interference of adjacent frequencies in a cellular system by selection between adjacent carrier frequency and non-adjacent carrier frequency. Barratt (US 6,982,968) teaches non-directional transmitting from a wireless data base station having a smart antenna system. The teaching of above prior arts either alone or in combination fails to teach the frequency of the uplink connection from from the user equipment to the base station remains the same frequency and the frequency of the downlink connection from said base station to said User equipment change from a first downlink frequency to a second downlink frequency.

Dependent claims 2-11 and 22-32 are allowable for the same reason.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,415 Page 4

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 15, 2006 LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER